The Design and Optimization of Complex NMR Experiments. Application to a Triple-Resonance Pulse Scheme Correlating Hα, NH, and 15N Chemical Shifts in 15N–13C-Labeled Proteins
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Sequence-specific assignments of the backbone resonances of proteins form the basis for further study of the structural and dynamic properties of the molecule under investigation. Traditional assignment strategies have relied on through-bond and through-space connectivities provided by homonuclear COSY, HOHAHA/TOCSY, and NOESY spectra (1–4). While very fruitful for proteins less than 10 kDa, this approach becomes difficult for larger systems, due to extensive overlap and decreasing sensitivity of experiments relying on through-bond connectivities.

Recently, we have proposed a novel approach for the sequential assignment of 1H, 13C, and 15N spectra of larger proteins based on triple-resonance three-dimensional NMR spectroscopy (5, 6). These experiments exploit the relatively large one-bond J couplings between the backbone 13C and 15N nuclei and between the backbone protons and the 13C and 15N nuclei to which they are directly attached. Because the couplings are often large compared to pertinent linewidths for proteins less than 25 kDa in molecular weight, magnetization can be transferred between spins in an efficient manner, with the resultant spectra having good sensitivity. Moreover, because the experiments are recorded in the “3D mode,” spectral overlap is virtually eliminated.

The sequential assignment of 13C-15N-labeled proteins using the triple-resonance approach is aided considerably by the HOHAHA-HMQC experiment (7). For example, the HNCA triple-resonance experiment correlates the NH and 15N chemical shifts with the intraresidue Ca chemical shift. When combined with the HNCA experiment, the HOHAHA-HMQC experiment (which correlates 15N, NH, and Hα chemical shifts) firmly establishes intraresidue correlations between pairs of 15N–NH and Ca–Hα backbone resonances, despite significant overlap in 1D 1H, 15N, and 13C spectra. Linking the 15N, NH, Ca, and Hα chemical shifts is the first step in the sequential assignment process using this new approach. Unlike the triple-resonance experiments, the HOHAHA-HMQC experiment is very sensitive to the secondary structure of the protein under study, as the efficiency of magnetization transfer between NH and Hα protons depends strongly on the NH–Hα scalar couplings. These couplings are, in turn, strongly related to the backbone angle φ and are less than 6 Hz in regions of regular α-helical secondary structure. For larger proteins rich in α-helical content, a substantial number of NH–Hα connectivities are often found to be weak or missing. In this paper a triple-
resonance 3D NMR pulse scheme which provides NH–Hα correlations in a manner completely independent of secondary structure is described and demonstrated. In particular, we describe how this experiment was optimized from the perspective of employing a minimal number of pulses and minimizing the time for magnetization dephasing and refocusing during coherence transfers. With the wide array of “pulse-sequence building blocks” available to the NMR spectroscopist it is relatively straightforward to design a new experiment which generates the desired correlations. For the more complex experiments frequently employed in higher-dimensionality NMR it becomes crucial, however, to construct pulse schemes which are as efficient as possible. As demonstrated here, frequently this is achieved in a straightforward fashion.

Figure 1a shows one possible 3D pulse scheme for correlating NH and Hα chemical shifts. This experiment is based on the transfer of magnetization from Hα to NH spins via successive through-bond transfers between the directly coupled Hα–Cα, Cα–15N, and 15N–NH pairs and is thus termed H(CA)NNH. This scheme is essentially a generalization of a 2D HETERO-RELAY pulse sequence proposed by Montelione and Wagner (8). The sequence can be easily understood as follows. Hα proton polarization is allowed to evolve for a time t1 and subsequently transferred by an INEPT sequence (9) to the directly coupled Cα spin. Immediately prior to the transfer a long proton pulse (several milliseconds) is applied to suppress the water signal (10). This is most easily achieved if the carrier is placed on the water resonance. In this method, the components of magnetization from the water and antiphase Hα spins are orthogonal immediately prior to the water purge pulse. Following the purge pulse and the application of 90° 1H and 13C pulses (90° of 1H and 90° of 13C allowed in Fig. 1), the antiphase Cα polarization is allowed to refocus with respect to the Hα spins during a period 2τH, after which Cα–15N dephasing occurs during the interval 2δN. The following 90° 13C pulse creates carbon–nitrogen zz magnetization (S,Nz, where S and N are carbon and nitrogen spins, respectively) and this is followed by an additional long proton pulse (9 ms) to further suppress the intense water resonance. Empirically, we have found that the application of a 90° pulse immediately following the long purge pulse helps to minimize residual signal from water. Subsequently magnetization is transferred to the nitrogen spin, and evolution proceeds during t2. The effects of 1H–15N and 13Cα–15N J coupling are removed by the application of 1H and 13C 180° pulses at the midpoint of t2. After the t2 evolution period, 15N magnetization is refocused with respect to the Cα spin during the delay 2δN and subsequently defocused with respect to the directly coupled NH proton during the period 2τH to allow transfer of magnetization back to NH protons via an INEPT sequence. Amide protons are detected during t3 with 15N decoupling. It should be noted that the effects of the one-bond Cα–carbonyl (C=) couplings are removed by a weak GARP decoupling field (1) applied in the middle of the C= resonances during the period when Cα transverse magnetization evolves. This scheme generates a 3D data set which after Fourier transformation in all three dimensions, yields cross peaks at coordinates: [ω1, ω2, ω3] = [Hα, 15N, NH] and [ω1, ω2, ω3] = [Hα, 15N, NH]. Note that there is a simultaneous transfer of magnetization from the Cα spin of residues i−1 and i to the 15N spin of residue i due to the presence of both a direct (∼11 Hz) and a two-bond (∼7 Hz) Cα–15N coupling.

In principle, the pulse scheme of Fig. 1a represents a feasible approach for providing NH–Hα correlations. However, a closer inspection suggests that the rather large number
FIG. 1. Comparison of two different pulse schemes for the H(CA)NNH experiment. The sequence of (b) is obtained from (a) by concatenation of 180° pulses (17, 19, 20). In this way five 180° pulses were eliminated. Typical durations used are \( r_1 = 1.5 \text{ ms}, r_2 = 1.7 \text{ ms}, \delta_{II} = 12.5 \text{ ms}, r_{III} = 2.75 \text{ ms}, \delta_{III} = 11.5 \text{ ms}, \) and \( \tau = 2.25 \text{ ms}. \) This optimizes intraresidue transfer of magnetization for all residues except glycine (see Eq. [9]). For the case of glycine a value of \( r_{III} = 0.8-0.9 \text{ ms} \) should be employed. Water suppression is achieved through the use of two purge pulses, SL\(_a\) and SL\(_b\), applied for 1.5 and 9 ms, respectively (10). The carrier is positioned on the water resonance. The phase-cycling scheme employed is as follows: \( \phi_1 = x; \phi_2 = -x, -x; \phi_3 = x, -x; \phi_4 = 2(x), 2(-x); \phi_5 = 4(x), 4(y), 4(-x), 4(-y); \phi_6 = 16(x), 16(-x); \phi_7 = 8(x), 8(-x); \) Acq. = 2(x, \( -x-x, x, -x-x, x, -x-x-x, x, \) x). The phases \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_6 \) are incremented independently by 90° to generate complex data in the \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) dimensions, respectively, using the States–TPPI method (21). The \( ^{15}\text{N} \) pulses and phases are generated by a homebuilt third channel described previously (6). Carbonyl decoupling is achieved with a GARP decoupling sequence (24) using a 500 Hz field. \(^{15}\text{N} \) decoupling during acquisition is achieved with a 1 kHz RF field with the WALTZ decoupling sequence (22).
amounts of time (13). Finally, for proteins it is crucial that the total duration of fixed delays needed for defocusing and refocusing transverse magnetization be minimized. As is shown below, both the number of 180° pulses and these durations can be shortened significantly by optimization of the pulse scheme.

A functionally equivalent version of the sequence of Fig. 1a which minimizes both the number of pulses and the total duration of delays needed for the Hα to NH magnetization transfer is illustrated in Fig. 1b. The construction of this sequence can be rationalized by dividing the sequence of Fig. 1a into three independent parts (I, II, and III in Fig. 1a) and describing how each section can be simplified. The corresponding simplified versions of regions I, II, and III are indicated by I', II', and III' in the sequence of Fig. 1b. In the discussion that follows, we consider only the fate of magnetization that is retained by the phase-cycling scheme indicated in the legend to Fig. 1. This restricts our focus to the description of the evolution of Hα, Cα, and 15N magnetization during regions I, II and III, respectively.

In region I transverse proton magnetization is required to evolve for a period τ1 and becomes antiphase with respect to the directly coupled Cα spin during a delay of 2τ1. As is discussed below, it is possible to simplify this portion of the sequence to

\[
\begin{align*}
{^1}\text{H:} & \quad A_1 & B_1 & \pi & C_1 \\
{^{13}}\text{C:} & \quad \pi & & \\
\text{REGION I'}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(A_1, B_1,\) and \(C_1\) are delays during which magnetization evolves. During this period only transverse \(^1\text{H}\) magnetization is present and a straightforward calculation shows that \(^1\text{H}\) magnetization evolves for a time of \(A_1 + B_1 - C_1\) due to chemical shift and that the one-bond \(^1\text{H} - ^{13}\text{C}\) coupling proceeds for a duration of \(A_1 - B_1 + C_1\). Therefore, we require that

\[
A_1 + B_1 - C_1 = \tau_1. \quad [1]
\]

Denoting the time during which \(^1\text{H} - ^{13}\text{C}\) scalar evolution proceeds as \(2\tau_1\) gives

\[
A_1 - B_1 + C_1 = 2\tau_1. \quad [2]
\]

A solution to Eqs. [1] and [2] is

\[
\begin{align*}
A_1 &= t_1/2 + \tau_1 \\
B_1 &= t_1/2 \\
C_1 &= \tau_1.
\end{align*}
\]

which is indicated in region I' of Fig. 1b. Note that this construct has resulted in the elimination of one Cα 180° pulse relative to region I of Fig. 1a. This type of concatenation of 180° pulses during the evolution period can also be used to simplify a number of the older \(^1\text{H} - ^{13}\text{C}\) shift correlation experiments (14–17).
As is discussed below, the pulse-sequence fragment corresponding to region II can be simplified and shortened as

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{H:} & \quad \pi \\
\text{C:} & \quad A_{\Pi} \quad B_{\Pi} \quad \pi \quad C_{\Pi} \quad D_{\Pi} \\
\text{N:} & \quad \pi \\
\text{C=O:} & \quad \text{DECOUPLE}
\end{align*} \]

REGION II,

where \( A_{\Pi}, B_{\Pi}, C_{\Pi}, \) and \( D_{\Pi} \) represent delays during which magnetization evolves due to chemical shift and scalar couplings. The phase cycling employed ensures that only transverse carbon magnetization is retained. Moreover, since the subsequent step in the transfer is to nitrogen, the only carbon spins that need be considered here are those that are coupled to \(^{15}\text{N}\). This includes all the \( \text{C}_\alpha \) carbons as well as the \( \text{C}_\beta \) and \( \text{C}_\gamma \) spins of Asn and Gln residues, respectively. Since the bulk of the magnetization resides on \( \text{C}_\alpha \) carbons we refer to the magnetization evolving during this interval as \( \text{C}_\alpha \) magnetization. A description of the evolution of \( \text{C}_\alpha \) magnetization during this interval is easily accomplished using product-operator formalism (18). In summary one finds that \(^{13}\text{C}\) chemical shift proceeds for a time \( A_{\Pi} + B_{\Pi} - C_{\Pi} - D_{\Pi} \), evolution due to the \(^{1}\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}\) coupling occurs for \( A_{\Pi} - B_{\Pi} + C_{\Pi} + D_{\Pi} \), \(^{13}\text{C}-^{15}\text{N}\) one- and two-bond scalar coupling is operative during \( A_{\Pi} + B_{\Pi} - C_{\Pi} + D_{\Pi} \), and, finally, one-bond \( \text{C}_\alpha - \text{C}_\beta \) coupling proceeds for an interval \( A_{\Pi} + B_{\Pi} + C_{\Pi} + D_{\Pi} \). Since \( \text{C}_\alpha \) chemical shift is not recorded in the present version of the experiment, it is required that

\[ A_{\Pi} + B_{\Pi} - C_{\Pi} - D_{\Pi} = 0. \]  \[ \text{(4)} \]

Denoting the times required for evolution due to \(^{1}\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}\) coupling and \(^{13}\text{C}-^{15}\text{N}\) coupling by \( 2\tau_{\Pi} \) and \( 2\delta_{\Pi} \), respectively, yields

\[ A_{\Pi} - B_{\Pi} + C_{\Pi} + D_{\Pi} = 2\tau_{\Pi} \]  \[ \text{(5)} \]
\[ A_{\Pi} + B_{\Pi} - C_{\Pi} + D_{\Pi} = 2\delta_{\Pi}. \]  \[ \text{(6)} \]

Inserting the results of Eq. \( \text{(4)} \) into Eqs. \( \text{(5)} \) and \( \text{(6)} \) yields immediately that

\[ A_{\Pi} = \tau_{\Pi} \]
\[ D_{\Pi} = \delta_{\Pi}. \]  \[ \text{(7)} \]

Optimal choices for \( \tau_{\Pi} \) and \( \delta_{\Pi} \) are found by maximizing the amount of magnetization transferred from the \( \text{C}_\alpha \) to the \(^{15}\text{N}\) spins during this interval. The transfer function which optimizes the intraresidue connectivities for \( \text{C}_\alpha \) carbons directly coupled to a single proton (all residues except glycine) is given by

\[ \sin(2\pi J_{\text{CN}\delta_{\Pi}})\cos(2\pi J_{\text{CN}\delta_{\Pi}})\cos(2\pi J_{\text{C} = \text{O}}(C_{\Pi} + \delta_{\Pi}))\sin(2\pi J_{\text{HC}\tau_{\Pi}}) \times \exp\{-2(C_{\Pi} + \delta_{\Pi})/T_{2\text{C} = \text{N}}\}, \]  \[ \text{(8)} \]
where \( J_{\text{CN}}, J_{\text{HC}}, J_{\text{CB}}, J_{\text{Hc}} \) are the coupling constants describing the one-bond \( \text{C}\alpha-^{15}\text{N} \), the two-bond \( \text{C}\alpha-^{15}\text{N} \), the \( \text{C}\alpha-\text{C}\beta \), and the \( ^1\text{H}\alpha-^{13}\text{C}\alpha \) coupling interactions, respectively, and \( T_{2\text{Ca}} \) is the \( \text{C}\alpha \) transverse relaxation time. Since in this case \( J_{\text{HC}} \gg J_{\text{CN}}, \) Eq. [8] indicates that an optimal choice for \( A_{\text{II}} = \tau_{\text{II}} \) is \( 1/(4J_{\text{HC}}) \), independent of the transverse relaxation rate, \( T_{2\text{Ca}} \). Optimal choices for \( D_{\text{II}} = \delta_{\text{II}} \) and \( C_{\text{II}} \) are functions of \( T_{\text{at}} \), and all of the scalar couplings with the exception of \( J_{\text{HC}} \). With \( \{J_{\text{CN}}, J_{\text{HC}}, J_{\text{CB}}, J_{\text{Hc}}/2\} = (11, 7, 37, 15 \text{ Hz}) \) the maximum of Eq. [8] is obtained with \( C_{\text{II}} = 0 \) and \( \delta_{\text{II}} = D_{\text{II}} = 12.5 \text{ ms} \). As the linewidth increases, the value of \( D_{\text{II}} \) which optimizes Eq. [8] decreases slowly. For example, for a \( \text{C}\alpha \) linewidth of 20 Hz, the values of \( C_{\text{II}} \) and \( D_{\text{II}} \) which give a maximum are 0 and 12 ms, respectively. Thus, for fully \( ^{13}\text{C} \)-enriched proteins in the 15–25 kDa molecular weight range, setting \( C_{\text{II}} = 0 \) and \( D_{\text{II}} \) to 12–13 ms will optimize transfer from \( \text{C}\alpha \) to \( ^{15}\text{N} \) for the range of \( \text{C}\alpha \) linewidths typically encountered. Finally, \( B_{\text{II}} \) is set to \( D_{\text{II}} - A_{\text{II}} \) in the sequence of Fig. 1b to satisfy Eq. [4]. In a similar manner, the transfer function for the glycine intraresidue connectivities is given by

\[
\sin(2\pi J_{\text{CN}}\delta_{\text{II}})\cos(2\pi J_{\text{HC}}\tau_{\text{II}})\sin(2\pi J_{\text{HC}}\tau_{\text{II}})\cos(2\pi J_{\text{HC}}\tau_{\text{II}})\exp\{-2(C_{\text{II}} + \delta_{\text{II}})/T_{2\text{Ca}}\}.
\]

For this situation \( A_{\text{II}} = \tau_{\text{II}} = 1/(8J_{\text{HC}}) \) and \( C_{\text{II}} = 0 \) give optimal results, and with \( \{J_{\text{CN}}, J_{\text{HC}}, 1/(\pi T_{2\text{Ca}})\} = (11, 7, 15 \text{ Hz}) \) as before, a value of \( \delta_{\text{II}} = D_{\text{II}} = 8.0 \text{ ms} \) should be chosen. In region II, the \( \text{C}\alpha-\text{Ha} \) refocusing period and the \( \text{C}\alpha-^{15}\text{N} \) defocusing period overlap, thus shortening the total duration required, in a manner similar to that in the case previously described for heteronuclear RELAY spectroscopy (17) and a number of 3D pulse schemes developed in our laboratory (19, 20). A comparison of regions II and III indicates that in addition to shortening the total required duration, a \( \text{C}\alpha \) 180° pulse has been eliminated.

Region III of Fig. 1a may be simplified as

\[
\begin{align*}
^{13}\text{C}: & \quad \pi \\
^{15}\text{N}: & \quad A_{\text{III}} \quad B_{\text{III}} \quad C_{\text{III}} \quad \pi \quad D_{\text{III}} \\
\text{C}\equiv\text{O}: & \quad \text{DECouple} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[\text{REGION III}\]

where \( A_{\text{III}}, B_{\text{III}}, C_{\text{III}}, \) and \( D_{\text{III}} \) denote evolution periods. During this interval the time course of \( ^{15}\text{N} \) magnetization need only be considered. An operator description of the evolution of \( ^{15}\text{N} \) magnetization during this period indicates that \( ^{15}\text{N} \) chemical shift evolves for a time \( A_{\text{III}} + B_{\text{III}} + C_{\text{III}} - D_{\text{III}} \), \( \text{C}\alpha-^{15}\text{N} \) J evolution proceeds during \( A_{\text{III}} + B_{\text{III}} - C_{\text{III}} + D_{\text{III}} \), and \( ^1\text{H}-^{15}\text{N} \) scalar coupling is operative during the interval \( A_{\text{III}} - B_{\text{III}} - C_{\text{III}} + D_{\text{III}} \). We require that

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{\text{III}} + B_{\text{III}} + C_{\text{III}} - D_{\text{III}} & = t_2 \\
A_{\text{III}} + B_{\text{III}} - C_{\text{III}} + D_{\text{III}} & = 2\delta_{\text{III}} \\
A_{\text{III}} - B_{\text{III}} - C_{\text{III}} + D_{\text{III}} & = 2r_{\text{III}},
\end{align*}
\]
where \( t_2 \) is the \(^{15}\text{N} \) chemical-shift evolution time and \( 2\delta_{\text{III}} \) and \( 2\tau_{\text{III}} \) denote the times needed for \(^{15}\text{N} \) evolution due to \( \text{C}^\alpha-{^{15}\text{N}} \) and \(^{15}\text{N} \)-NH scalar couplings, respectively. A solution to Eqs. [10]–[12] is obtained with

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{\text{III}} &= t_2/2 + \tau_{\text{III}} \\
B_{\text{III}} &= \delta_{\text{III}} - \tau_{\text{III}} \\
C_{\text{III}} &= t_2/2 \\
D_{\text{III}} &= \delta_{\text{III}}.
\end{align*}
\]

Optimal values for \( \tau_{\text{III}} \) and \( \delta_{\text{III}} \) are obtained by requiring that the transfer of magnetization from \(^{15}\text{N} \) to the directly coupled NH spin be maximal. This requires optimization of a transfer function of the form

\[
\sin(2\pi J_{\text{CN}}\delta_{\text{III}})\cos(2\pi^2 J_{\text{CN}}\delta_{\text{III}})\sin(2\pi J_{\text{NH}}\tau_{\text{III}})\exp(-2\delta_{\text{III}}/T_{2\text{N}}),
\]

where \( J_{\text{NH}} \) and \( T_{2\text{N}} \) are the \(^{14}\text{N} \)-NH scalar coupling constant and the \(^{15}\text{N} \) transverse relaxation time, respectively, and \( J_{\text{CN}} \) and \( T_{\text{2N}} \) are defined as before. Equation [14] indicates that since \( J_{\text{NH}} \gg J_{\text{CN}}, \tau_{\text{III}} \) should be set to \( 1/(4J_{\text{NH}}) \), independent of \( T_{2\text{N}} \), and for \( \{J_{\text{CN}}, T_{\text{2N}}\} = (11, 7, 7 \text{ Hz}) \) a value for \( \delta_{\text{III}} \) of 11.5 ms is optimal. The logic described above leads to a reduction of the number of 180° pulses in region III from 6 to 3. In addition, in region III the total duration has been reduced by recognizing that the \( \text{C}^\alpha-{^{15}\text{N}} \) refocusing period and the \(^{15}\text{N} \)-NH defocusing period can proceed simultaneously.

Figure 2 illustrates typical slices taken from the H(CA)NNH 3D spectrum of uniformly \(^{15}\text{N} \)-\(^{13}\text{C} \)-labeled calmodulin (1.5 mM) complexed with a 26 amino-acid fragment of skeletal myosin light chain kinase in 95% H\(_2\)O/5% D\(_2\)O, 100 mM KCl, 6 mM Ca\(^{2+} \), pH 6.8, and recorded at 35°C. The pulse scheme of Fig. 1b was employed. The two slices at \(^{15}\text{N} \) frequencies of 116.7 ppm (A) and 125.2 ppm (B) display intraresidue correlations between NH and H\( \alpha \) resonances. In addition, weaker interresidue correlations are observed connecting the NH shift with the H\( \alpha \) shift of the preceding residue. This provides valuable sequential connectivity information which, when combined with the results of other triple-resonance experiments (5, 6), enables the complete backbone assignment of \(^{15}\text{N} \)-\(^{13}\text{C} \)-labeled proteins to be made in a relatively straightforward manner.

In summary, we have described an approach which permits a dramatic reduction in the length of many complex pulse schemes by concatenation of pulses. For the triple-resonance sequence considered here the number of 180° refocusing pulses is decreased from 15 to 10. This dramatically improves the sensitivity of the resultant spectra by reducing the effects of RF inhomogeneity and pulse imperfections and in addition minimizes the phase-cycling schemes necessary to eliminate artifacts. Moreover, because refocusing and defocusing of scalar couplings are allowed to proceed simultaneously, the total time in which transverse magnetization evolves is decreased. For example, for the H(CA)NNH sequence, the refocusing of the \( \text{C}^\alpha \) magnetization due to the \(^1\text{H} \)-\(^{13}\text{C} \) coupling during \( \text{C}^\alpha \) evolution and the dephasing of the \(^{15}\text{N} \) magnetization due to the \(^{15}\text{N} \)-NH coupling during \(^{15}\text{N} \) precession are obtained for “free.”
FIG. 2. Sections of \((F_1, F_3)\) slices of the H(CA)NNH 3D spectrum of 1.5 mM calmodulin complexed with a 26 amino-acid residue fragment of myosin light chain kinase recorded on a Bruker AM500 spectrometer. Spectra at \(^{15}N\) frequencies of 116.7 ppm (A) and 125.2 ppm (B) are displayed. The spectra show intense intraresidue NH-Ha correlations and weaker connectivities between the NH and the Ha from the preceding residue. The 3D spectrum results from a \((64 \text{ complex}) \times (32 \text{ complex}) \times (1K \text{ real})\) data matrix (8 megaword) with acquisition times of 29.4, 32, and 62 ms in \(t_1, t_2\), and \(t_3\), respectively. The length of the \(t_2\) time domain was doubled using linear prediction \((23, 24)\). After zero-filling the digital resolution is 17 Hz \((F_1,Ha)\), 8Hz \((F_2, ^{15}N)\), and 4 Hz \((F_3, NH)\). Because of the relatively short Ha longitudinal relaxation time, a short \((0.8 \text{ s})\) relaxation delay was used, resulting in a total measuring time of \(\sim 60\) hours. A baseline correction in the \(t_3\) time domain was used to reduce the intensity of the residual water signal \((25)\). The spectrum was processed on a Sun Sparc Workstation using in-house routines for processing in \(F_2\) \((26)\), together with the commercially available software package NMR2 (New Methods Research, Inc., Syracuse, New York) for processing the \(F_1-F_3\) planes.

The approach described should have important implications for three- and four-dimensional NMR spectroscopy of larger proteins, where maximizing sensitivity and minimizing measuring times are critical.
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