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Solution structure of the His12 → Cys mutant of the N-terminal zinc binding domain of HIV-1 integrase complexed to cadmium

MENGLI CAI,1 YING HUANG,2 MICHAEL CAFFREY,1 RONGLAN ZHENG,2 ROBERT CRAIGIE,2 G. MARIUS CLORE,1 AND ANGELA M. GRONENBORN1

1Laboratory of Chemical Physics, Building 5, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-0520
2Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Building 5, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-0520

(RECEIVED June 18, 1998; ACCEPTED August 18, 1998)

Abstract: The solution structure of His12 → Cys mutant of the N-terminal zinc binding domain (residues 1-55; IN1-55) of HIV-1 integrase complexed to cadmium has been solved by multidimensional heteronuclear NMR spectroscopy. The overall structure is very similar to that of the wild-type N-terminal domain complexed to zinc. In contrast to the wild-type domain, however, which exists in two interconverting conformational states arising from different modes of coordination of the two histidine side chains to the metal, the cadmium complex of the His12 → Cys mutant exists in only a single form at low pH. The conformation of the polypeptide chain encompassing residues 10-18 is intermediate between the two forms of the wild-type complex.

Keywords: cadmium; conformational states; HIV-1; integrase; N-terminal domain

HIV integrase comprises three functional and structural domains whose structures have been solved: a central catalytic core (Dyda et al., 1994), an N-terminal zinc binding domain (Cai et al., 1997; Eijkelenboom et al., 1997) and a C-terminal DNA binding domain (Eijkelenboom et al., 1995; Lodí et al., 1995). The catalytic core is capable of catalyzing a phosphoryl transfer reaction termed disintegration, but requires the presence of the two other domains for 3' processing and DNA strand transfer (Bushman et al., 1993). The N-terminal domain of HIV-1 integrase (IN1-55) is unstructured in the absence of zinc, but in the presence of zinc folds into a well-defined dimeric structure comprising four helices per subunit (Cai et al., 1997). The zinc is coordinated by His12, His16, Cys40, and Cys43. Interestingly, the IN1-55-Zn2+ complex exists in two interconverting conformational states, termed E and D, differing in the nature of the metal coordination by the two histidine residues (Cai et al., 1997). In both forms, His16 coordinates the zinc via its Nε2 atom. In the E form, which predominates below 300 K at pH 7.4, His12 is buried within the protein interior, coordinates the zinc via its Nε2 atom and donates a hydrogen bond through its Nε1H proton to the sulfur of Met22, while His16 is solvent exposed. In the D form, the relative positions of His12 and His16 are reversed, such that His12 is solvent exposed and like His16 coordinates the zinc via its Nε1 atom. The different histidine arrangements are associated with large conformational differences in the polypeptide backbone (residues 9-18) around the coordinating histidines. The dimer interface, which is identical in the two forms, is predominantly hydrophobic and is formed by the packing of the N-terminal end of helix 1, helix 3, and helix 4. To alleviate problems arising from the presence of the two interconverting forms we have pursued avenues that would result in the predominance of one molecular species. In this paper, we report on a mutant in which His12 is replaced by a cysteine and present the three-dimensional structure of the cadmium complex of this variant.

The rationale for our strategy was based on the knowledge that Cd2+ binding to His2Cys2 metal clusters is generally of lower affinity than Zn2+ binding (Alexander et al., 1993; Krizek et al., 1993), but that a dramatic increase in Cd2+ affinity is observed as the number of thiolate ligands is increased (Krizek et al., 1993). In complete agreement with these prior observations, we observed multiple forms for IN1-55(H12C) when complexed with Zn2+, whereas the Cd2+ substituted protein existed in a single conformation at pH 4.5, as judged by the 1H-15N correlation spectrum which exhibited only a single set of resonances per residue (Fig. 1). A long range 1H-15N correlation spectrum in which the nitrogen and carbon-attached proton resonances of the histidine side chain are correlated showed that the Nε1 and Nε2 atoms of His16 resonated in the 170-173 ppm range (Pelton et al., 1993),
indicating that His16 is protonated at pH 4.5. Hence, His16 cannot be coordinated to cadmium. At higher pH values, additional forms of the Cd\(^{2+}\) complex are observed, most likely due to coordination by the imidazole ring of His16. Figure 2 provides a qualitative comparison of the structural and dynamics properties of IN\(^{1-55}(H12C)-Cd^{2+}\) complex and the E and D forms of the wild-type IN\(^{1-55}(H12C)-Zn^{2+}\) complex in terms of the secondary \(\Delta^{13}\)Ca shifts and heteronuclear \(^{15}N-^{1}H\) nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) values. While the position and length of helices 2 and 3 (residues 19–25 and 30–39) are the same in the three complexes, clear differences are observed in the length of helix 1 and the structure of the loop connecting helices 1 and 2. In the Cd\(^{2+}\) complex helix 1 extends from residues 3 to 10 and there is a small helical turn from residues 12 to 14. In the E form of the IN\(^{1-55}(H12C)-Cd^{2+}\) complex helix 1 extends from residues 2–14, and in the D form from residues 2 to 8 followed by a helical turn from residues 14–17. In addition, the \(^{15}N-^{1}H\) NOEs indicate that helix 4 is more mobile in the IN\(^{1-55}(H12C)-Cd^{2+}\) complex than in either the E or D forms of the wild-type complex. This accounts for the small secondary \(\Delta^{13}\)Ca shifts observed for helix 4 (residues 41–45) in the IN\(^{1-55}(H12C)-Cd^{2+}\). The average conformation of helix 4, however, is defined by nonsequential NOEs between residues 37 and 43, 40 and 42, 40 and 43, 41 and 44, and 42 and 45.

The structure of the IN\(^{1-55}(H12C)-Cd^{2+}\) complex was solved by multidimensional heteronuclear NMR (Clore & Gronenborn, 1991, 1998a; Bax & Grzesiek, 1993) on the basis of 640 experimental NMR restraints per monomer (including 20 intermolecular NOEs identified in a three-dimensional \({}^{13}C\)-edited/\(^{12}C\)-filtered NOE spectrum). A summary of the structural statistics is provided in Table 1, and a best fit superposition of the 40 simulated annealing structures of the IN\(^{1-55}(H12C)-Cd^{2+}\) complex is shown in Figure 3. The ordered portion of the structure comprising residues 1–45 is well defined with a backbone precision for the dimer of 0.4 Å and ~93% of the residues lying in the most favourable region of the Ramachandran \(\phi,\psi\) map. The orientation of the two subunits in the dimer is identical to that of the wild-type IN\(^{1-55},Zn^{2+}\) complex. The RMS difference between the mean coordinates of the Cd\(^{2+}\) complex and the E and D forms of the Zn\(^{2+}\) complex is 1.1 Å (excluding residues 15–17) and 0.9 Å (excluding residues 11–14), respectively.

A ribbon diagram comparing the monomer structure of the three complexes is shown in Fig. 4. The position of the coordinating metal ion and the conformation of Cys40 and Cys43 is the same in all three complexes. Cys12 in the IN\(^{1-55}(H12C)-Cd^{2+}\) complex
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Table 1. Structural statistic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural statistic</th>
<th>(SA)</th>
<th>(SA)r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMS deviations from experimental distance restraints (Å)</td>
<td>0.031 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrasubunit</td>
<td>0.025 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interresidue sequential (</td>
<td>i − j</td>
<td>= 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interresidue short range (1 &lt;</td>
<td>i − j</td>
<td>≤ 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interresidue long range (</td>
<td>i − j</td>
<td>&gt; 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-bonds</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersubunit</td>
<td>0.053 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguous intra- and intersubunit</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS deviations from experiment</td>
<td>0.184 ± 0.071</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihedral restraints (deg)</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCHECK</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% residues in most favorable region of Ramachandran plot</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bad contacts/100 residues</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.0004</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bad contacts/100 residues</td>
<td>0.553 ± 0.109</td>
<td>0.587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper angles</td>
<td>0.341 ± 0.031</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate precision of the dimer</td>
<td>-435 ± 12</td>
<td>-385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backbone (Å)</td>
<td>93.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All atoms (Å)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The notation of the NMR structures is as follows: (SA) are the final 40 simulated annealing structures; SA is the mean structure obtained by averaging the coordinates of the individual SA structures (residues 1–45 of both subunits) best fitted to each other; (SA)r is the restrained minimized mean structure obtained by restrained regularization of the mean structure SA. The number of terms for the various restraints per monomer is given in parentheses. The final force constants employed for the various terms in the target function used for simulated annealing are as follows: 1,000 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻² for bond lengths, 500 kcal mol⁻¹ rad⁻² for angles and improper torsions (which serve to maintain planarity and chirality), 30 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻² for the S-Cd²⁺ bond length, 10 kcal mol⁻¹ rad⁻² for the S-Cd²⁺-S bond angle, 100 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻² for noncrystallographic symmetry, 4 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻² for the quartic van der Waals repulsion term (with the hard sphere effective van der Waals radii set to 0.8 times their value used in the CHARMM PARAM19/20 parameters), 30 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻² for the experimental distance restraints (interproton distances and hydrogen bonds), 200 kcal mol⁻¹ rad⁻² for the torque angle restraints, 1 kcal mol⁻¹ Hz⁻² for the coupling constant restraints, 0.5 kcal mol⁻¹ ppm⁻² for the carbon chemical shift restraints, and 1.0 for the conformational database potential. The latter is based on the populations of various combinations of torsion angles observed in a database of 70 high-resolution (1.75 Å or better) X-ray structures and biases sampling to conformations that are energetically possible by effectively limiting the choice of dihedral angles to those that are known to be physically realizable (Clare & Gronenborn, 1999b).

aNone of the structures exhibited distance violations greater than 0.5 Å, dihedral angle violations greater than 5°, or 3JHNa coupling constant violations greater than 2 Hz. The torsion angles restraints comprise 45φ, 35φ, 26χ1, 10χ2, and 1χ3 angle per monomer.

bOnly structurally useful interna-residue NOEs are included in the interna-residue interproton distance restraints. Thus, interna-residue NOEs between protons separated by two bonds or between nonstereospecifically assigned protons separated by three bonds are not incorporated in the restraints.

cIntersubunit NOEs from protons attached to 13C (in the indirect dimension) to protons attached to 12C (in the acquisition dimension) were obtained from a three-dimensional 13C-separated/12C-filtered NOE spectrum recorded on a sample containing a 1:1 mixture of 13N/12C and 14N/13C (natural isotopic abundance) labeled IN55(H12C)-Cd²⁺ complex.

dNOEs where a distinction between intra- and intersubunit effects could not be distinguished were treated as (Σr)⁻¹/⁰ sums (Nilges, 1993).

eEₐ,j is the Lennard-Jones van der Waals energy calculated with the CHARMM PARAM19/20 protein parameters (Brooks et al., 1993) and is not included in the target function for simulated annealing or restrained minimization.

fThe program PROCHECK (Laskowski et al., 1993) was used to assess the overall quality of the structures. The dihedral angle G-factors for the φ/ψ, χ₁/χ₂, χ₁, and χ₂/χ₃ distributions are 0.48 ± 0.04, 0.78 ± 0.08, 0.34 ± 0.13, and 0.32 ± 0.18, respectively. The PROCHECK statistics apply to the ordered region of IN55(H12C)-Cd²⁺ comprising residues 1–45 of the two subunits.

The precision of the atomic coordinates is defined as the average RMS difference between the 40 final simulated annealing structures and the mean coordinates, SA. The values given relate to residues 1–45 of the two subunits together. The backbone atoms comprise the N, Ca, C, and O atoms.
occupies the same position as His12 in the E form of the wild-type zinc complex. His16, however, is protonated and no longer coordinated to the metal in IN\textsuperscript{1-55}(H12C)-Cd\textsuperscript{2+} complex. The fourth coordinating position of the cadmium is presumably occupied by water. Indeed, the \textsuperscript{111}Cd chemical shift of 538 ppm observed for the complex is consistent with a \textsubscript{S}4\textsubscript{S} coordination (Colman, 1993). It is also noted that, while Tyr15 is in the same side-chain rotamer for all three complexes, its position with respect to helix 2 differs as a result of the large changes in backbone conformation involving residues 10–18 associated with the different types of metal coordination observed.

In proteins, structural metal binding sites provide a unique way of increasing the range of conformations (and activities) during evolution, exploiting the large free energy of metal binding to stabilize the optimal protein structure. In this study, we have shown that it is possible to stabilize a single conformation of the IN\textsuperscript{1-55} domain of HIV-1 integrase by mutating one of the coordinating histidine residues in the wild-type sequence (His12) to a cysteine, resulting in preferential cadmium coordination over zinc.

\textbf{Experimental: Expression and purification:} Protein expression and purification of IN\textsuperscript{1-55}(H12C) were as described previously (Cai et al., 1998), and samples were prepared at natural isotopic abundance, with uniform \textsuperscript{15}N (>95%) labeling, and with uniform \textsuperscript{15}N and \textsuperscript{13}C (>95%) labeling. The reverse phase HPLC purified protein was first dialyzed in 50 mM NH\textsubscript{4}HCO\textsubscript{3}, 10 mM EDTA, and 10 mM DTT, followed by dialysis in deionized water containing 20 mM \textbf{β}-mercaptoethanol, with the external buffer changed three times. The dialyzed protein solution was then lyophilized with 100 mM \textbf{β}-mercaptoethanol, and subsequently dissolved in buffer containing 25 mM Tris pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, and 5 mM cadmium acetate. The pH of the protein solution was then adjusted to pH 4.5 with either 5% acetic acid or 1 M NaOH. The following samples were prepared: \textsuperscript{15}N-labeled IN\textsuperscript{1-55}(H12C)-Cd\textsuperscript{2+} in 95% H\textsubscript{2}O/5% D\textsubscript{2}O; \textsuperscript{15}N/\textsuperscript{13}C-labeled IN\textsuperscript{1-55}(H12C)-Cd\textsuperscript{2+} in 95% H\textsubscript{2}O/5% D\textsubscript{2}O and 99.996% D\textsubscript{2}O; heterodimer containing a 1:1 mixture of \textsuperscript{15}N/\textsuperscript{13}C and \textsuperscript{14}N/\textsuperscript{12}C-labeled IN\textsuperscript{1-55}(H12C)-Cd\textsuperscript{2+} in 99.996% D\textsubscript{2}O. In addition, a sample containing \textsuperscript{111}Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was prepared.
NMR spectroscopy: Multidimensional NMR experiments were carried out at 30°C on Bruker DMX500 and DMX600 spectrometers equipped with x,y,z-shielded gradient triple resonance probes. Spectra were processed with the NMRPipe package (Delaglio et al., 1995), and analyzed using the programs PIPP, CAPP, and STAPP (Garrett et al., 1991). A one-dimensional $^{119}$Cd spectrum of the $^{1}N$-$^{151}$H(1H2C)-Cd$^{2+}$ was recorded on a Bruker DMX 500 spectrometer, and the $^{119}$Cd chemical shift in the complex is reported relative to 0.1 M Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$ (Colman, 1993). The sequential assignment of the $^{1}$H, $^{12}$C, and $^{15}$N chemical shifts was achieved by means of through-bond heteronuclear correlations along the backbone and side chains (Clore & Grönnborn, 1991, 1998a; Bax & Grzesiek, 1993). $J_{HH}$, $J_{NH}$, $J_{CC}$, and $J_{CH}$ were obtained by quantitative $J$ correlation spectroscopy (Bax et al., 1994). Interproton distance restraints were derived from the following spectra: three-dimensional $^{15}$N-separated (120 ms mixing time), three-dimensional $^{13}$C-separated (50 and 120 ms mixing times), and three-dimensional $^{13}$C-separated/$^{15}$N-filtered (150 ms mixing time) NOE spectra, three-dimensional $^{15}$N-separated ROE (40 ms mixing time) spectrum, and four-dimensional $^{13}$C/$^{15}$N-separated (120 and 150 ms mixing times) and four-dimensional $^{13}$C/$^{13}$C-separated (150 ms mixing time) NOE spectra. $^{15}$N/ $^{1}$H NOE values were measured as described by Grzesiek and Bax (1993). Long-range nitrogen-proton correlations involving the histidine rings were observed in a $^{1}$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectrum recorded with a 22 ms dephasing delay during which time the $^{1}$H and $^{15}$N signals become antiphase (Pelton et al., 1993).

Structure calculations: Approximate interproton distance restraints were derived from the multidimensional NOE spectra, essentially as described previously (Clore & Grönnborn, 1991). NOEs were grouped into four distance ranges, 1.8–2.7 Å (1.8–2.9 Å for NOEs involving NH protons), 1.8–3.3 Å (1.8–3.5 Å for NOEs involving NH protons), 1.8–5.0 and 1.8–6.0 Å, corresponding to strong, medium, weak, and very weak NOEs. 0.5 Å was added to the upper bounds for distances involving methyl groups to account for the higher apparent intensity of the methyl resonances. Distances involving methyl groups, aromatic ring protons, nonstereospecifically assigned methylene protons, and groups where a distinction between intermolecular and intramolecular effects could not be distinguished were represented as a $(\sigma r^{-6})^{-1/6}$ sum (Nilges, 1993). Protein backbone hydrogen bonding restraints (two per hydrogen bond, $r_{HN}=1.5–2.8$, $r_{N-O}=2.4–3.5$ Å) within areas of regular secondary structure were introduced during the final stages of refinement using standard NMR criteria based on backbone NOEs and $J_{HH}$ coupling constants, supplemented by secondary $^{15}$Ca/$^{12}$C shifts, $\phi$, $\psi$, $\chi_{1}$, and $\chi_{2}$ torsion angle restraints were derived from the NOE/ROE and homo- and heteronuclear three-bond coupling constant data, and the minimum ranges employed were $\pm 15^\circ$, $\pm 40^\circ$, $\pm 20^\circ$, and $\pm 30^\circ$, respectively (Cai et al., 1997). The structures were calculated by simulated annealing (Nilges et al., 1988) using the program CNS (Brünger et al., 1998) adapted to incorporate pseudo-potentials for $J_{HH}$ coupling constant and secondary $^{15}$Ca/$^{12}$CB chemical shift restraints, and a conformational database potential (Clore & Grönnborn, 1998b). The target function that is minimized during simulated annealing and restrained regularization comprises quadratic harmonic potential terms for covalent geometry, noncrystallographic symmetry, and $J_{HH}$ coupling constant and secondary $^{15}$Ca and $^{12}$CB chemical shift restraints, square-well quadratic potentials for the experimental distance and torsion angle restraints, a quartic van der Waals repulsion term for the nonbonded contacts, and a conformational database potential. The S-Cd bond lengths and the S-Cd-S bond angles were restrained to 2.6 Å and $109^\circ$, respectively, using force constants of 30 kcal mol$^{-1}$ Å$^{-2}$ and 10 kcal mol$^{-1}$ rad$^{-2}$, respectively. There were no hydrogen-bonding, electrostatic, or 6–12 Lennard–Jones empirical potential energy terms in the target function. Figures were generated using the programs MOLMOL (Koradi et al., 1996).

The coordinates of the final 40 simulated annealing structures and of the restrained regularized mean structure, and the complete list of experimental NMR restraints and $^{1}$H, $^{15}$N, $^{13}$C chemical shift assignments (accession codes 1WF, 1WJE, and 1WJEMR) have been deposited in the Brookhaven Protein Data Bank.
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