

Monitoring ^{15}N Chemical Shifts During Protein Folding by Pressure-Jump NMR

Cyril Charlier, Joseph M. Courtney, T. Reid Alderson, Philip Anfinrud, and Ad Bax*

Laboratory of Chemical Physics, NIDDK, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-0520, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Pressure-jump hardware permits direct observation of protein NMR spectra during a cyclically repeated protein folding process. For a two-state folding protein, the change in resonance frequency will occur nearly instantaneously when the protein clears the transition state barrier, resulting in a monoexponential change of the ensemble-averaged chemical shift. However, protein folding pathways can be more complex and contain metastable intermediates. With a pseudo-3D NMR experiment that utilizes stroboscopic observation, we measure the ensemble-averaged chemical shifts, including those of exchange-broadened intermediates, during the folding process. Such measurements for a pressure-sensitized mutant of ubiquitin show an on-pathway kinetic intermediate whose ^{15}N chemical shifts differ most from the natively folded protein for strands $\beta 5$, its preceding turn, and the two strands that pair with $\beta 5$ in the native structure.

Direct observation of protein folding by NMR initially was limited to slower folding or unfolding systems,^{1–4} but more recent improvements in technology have significantly increased the accessible time resolution.^{5,6} In such experiments, sample conditions are changed abruptly from denaturing to those favoring the native state. This may be accomplished by a sudden jump in pH or temperature, dilution of denaturant, release of a cofactor, or sudden drop in hydrostatic pressure. The latter mode of switching is often fully reversible and allows the protein to be probed under native buffer conditions. It requires that the volume of the protein in the unfolded state is substantially lower than in the folded state.^{7–11} Analogous to pressure-jump fluorescence experiments,¹² the temporal change in the NMR spectrum following a step change in pressure contains kinetic information.^{4,13}

We recently developed a pressure-jump apparatus capable of reliably switching the hydrostatic pressure in an NMR sample cell between 1 bar and up to 2.8 kbar within a few milliseconds,¹⁴ and demonstrated it for ubiquitin, whose folding mechanism has been the subject of much experimental and computational work.^{15–22} Although wild-type ubiquitin requires more than 5 kbar to unfold,²³ mutations that increase the volume difference between the folded and unfolded state reduce the pressure needed to unfold the protein.^{24,25} Indeed, the V17A/V26A ubiquitin mutant (VA2-ubiquitin) lowered the midpoint of pressure-induced unfolding to 1.4 kbar, while retaining the native structure.^{14,22}

At 2.5 kbar, 25 °C, the ^{15}N – ^1H heteronuclear single quantum correlation (HSQC) NMR spectrum of VA2-ubiquitin shows the protein is ca. 94% unfolded, yielding narrow chemical shift dispersion and sharp resonances; the remaining 6% retains its natively folded spectrum. Immediately after dropping the pressure to 1 bar, the ^{15}N shifts of the protein remain close to those of the pressure-denatured state, but also show evidence of exchange broadening (Figure S1).¹⁴

For a two-state folder, jumping from high pressure (denaturing) to 1 bar (native) conditions triggers a folding process that is manifested as a changing admixture of unfolded and folded proteins. For multistate folders, intermediates will also become populated and can exhibit molten globule characteristics with correspondingly broad NMR resonances.^{26,27} We demonstrate that the ensemble-averaged chemical shifts for such an admixture can be monitored stroboscopically^{28,29} as a function of folding time, and permits determination of the folding intermediate chemical shifts.

The NMR experiment (Figure 1) is a modification of the regular gradient-enhanced heteronuclear single quantum




Figure 1. Pressure-jump pseudo-3D NMR pulse scheme used for stroboscopic measurement of ^{15}N chemical shifts during protein folding. For details, see Figure S2.

correlation (HSQC) pulse scheme³⁰ synchronized with the pressure jump, which includes a stroboscopic element to probe the resonance frequency during folding. During the preparation period (gray), ^1H magnetization is first transferred to in-phase $^{15}\text{N}_z$ by means of a refocused INEPT element at high pressure, where the efficiency of such transfers is very high. This transfer is immediately followed by the opening of a hydraulic valve that drops the pressure in the sample cell to 1 bar, initiating the folding process. Following a duration τ (Figure 1, peach), the ^{15}N chemical shift frequency is encoded “stroboscopically” by the $90_x\text{-}K\text{-}90_{-x,y}$ pulse pair (purple) which modulates the $^{15}\text{N}_z$ magnetization, and thereby the spectral intensity, $M_x(\tau)$, in the HSQC spectrum, by $\cos(\omega_N K)$, where

Received: May 12, 2018

Published: June 20, 2018

ω_N is the ^{15}N angular offset frequency, averaged over duration κ and over all molecules that contribute to the final 2D HSQC spectrum (Figure 2A). Similarly, a $90_x\text{-}\kappa\text{-}90_y$ pulse pair is used




Figure 2. Measurement of ^{15}N chemical shifts during VA2-ubiquitin folding. (A, B) Small region of the HSQC spectrum, recorded with the scheme of Figure 1 for $\tau = 10$ ms and $\kappa = 2$ ms, modulated by (A) $\cos(\omega_N\kappa)$ and (B) $\sin(\omega_N\kappa)$. Extension of the 50 ms t_1 time domain to 75 ms by the NUS reconstruction program SMILE³⁴ was used to slightly increase both resolution and sensitivity. Negative intensity is shown in brown. For full spectra, see Figure S3. (C) $M_x(\tau)$ and (D) $M_y(\tau)$ magnetization of residue S57 after evolution for $\kappa = 0.5$ ms (red) and $\kappa = 2$ ms (blue), and (E) the corresponding magnitude $|M(\tau)|$, with these values normalized to $|M(\tau)| = 1$ at $\tau = 248$ ms. Solid colored lines correspond to the values predicted for a two-state folding model with $\rho = 14.3$ s⁻¹ and known chemical shifts¹⁴ of the unfolded (F, dashed line) and folded (F, dotted) states at 1 bar. (F) Apparent average chemical shift $\langle\omega_N/2\pi\rangle$ at time τ after the pressure drop. (G–J) Analogous to (C–F) but for residue R72 with solid lines corresponding to a three-state model with $k_{u\rightarrow i} = 13.2$ s⁻¹; $k_{i\rightarrow f} = 6.5$ s⁻¹; and $k_{i\rightarrow u} = 12.0$ s⁻¹, and colored dashed lines for the two-state model. The band at 126.6 ppm in (J) depicts the fitted ^{15}N shift of the intermediate state. The full set of residues is shown in Figure S4. The error bars in (C–E) and (G–I) indicate the RMS noise in the M_x and M_y spectra, scaled by the normalization factor of $|M|$. Error bars in panels F and J indicate the uncertainty in the average chemical shift derived from the RMS noise in the M_x and M_y spectra.

to generate a second HSQC spectrum whose intensity, $M_y(\tau)$, is modulated by $\sin(\omega_N\kappa)$ (Figure 2B). The ratio of intensities observed in these two spectra therefore equals $\tan(\omega_N\kappa)$, thereby yielding $\langle\omega_N\rangle$ at time τ , where the brackets denote the ensemble-averaged value. Linear weighting of contributions to $\langle\omega_N\rangle$ from folded, unfolded or intermediate states of the protein requires that $\kappa(\Delta\omega_N) \ll \pi$, where $\Delta\omega_N$ represents the angular chemical shift difference between them.

For a two-state folder at time t after the pressure is dropped to 1 bar, the probability that the angular resonance frequency

of any given nucleus switches from unfolded ω_U to folded ω_F during a short interval dt equals $\rho e^{-\rho t} dt$, where ρ is the protein folding rate. Thus, at time τ a fraction $e^{-\rho\tau}$ resonates with frequency ω_U and the remainder, $(1 - e^{-\rho\tau})$, with ω_F . During the κ interval, the corresponding magnetization vectors accumulate phases of $\omega_U\kappa$ and $\omega_F\kappa$, respectively, of which the fractions $\cos(\omega_U\kappa)$ and $\cos(\omega_F\kappa)$ are returned to the $+z$ axis by the subsequent 90_x pulse, or $\sin(\omega_U\kappa)$ and $\sin(\omega_F\kappa)$ for the second experiment where a 90_y pulse terminates the stroboscopic observation. Only proteins that are natively folded at the start of the subsequent ^{15}N evolution period contribute to the resonance intensity of the folded protein in the HSQC spectrum. Though this requirement is met for all proteins in the $(1 - e^{-\rho\tau})$ folded fraction, only a fraction $1 - e^{-\rho(T-\tau)}$ of the unfolded protein fraction ($e^{-\rho\tau}$) will switch to the folded state between its stroboscopic encoding and the start of ^{15}N t_1 evolution. We also must account for the small fraction, A , of the protein that does not unfold at 2.5 kbar ($A \approx 6\%$).

In the limit where $\rho\kappa \ll 1$, the amplitude of the observed, folded protein magnetization is then given by

$$M_x(\tau) \approx (1 - A)[1 - e^{-\rho(T-\tau)}]e^{-\rho\tau}\cos(\omega_U\kappa) + [A + (1 - A)(1 - e^{-\rho\tau})]\cos(\omega_F\kappa) \quad (1)$$

and an analogous expression for $M_y(\tau)$ in which \sin substitutes for \cos . Depending on the values of $\omega_U\kappa$, the amplitude of the HSQC signal can be positive or negative (Figure 2A, B; Figure S3).

The weighted average frequency is given by

$$\langle\omega(\tau)\rangle = \kappa^{-1}\tan^{-1}[M_y(\tau)/M_x(\tau)] \quad (2)$$

up to the addition of an integer multiple of $2\pi/\kappa$, analogous to aliasing in traditional Fourier sampling. For a two-state folder with small chemical shift difference $(\omega_U - \omega_F)$ and $\rho T \gg 1$, $\langle\omega(\tau)\rangle$ simply becomes the population-weighted average frequency, decaying exponentially from $[(1 - A)\omega_U + A\omega_F]$ to ω_F when τ is incremented from 0 to T (Figure 2F). When $\kappa \ll \pi(\Delta\omega_N)^{-1}$ is not satisfied, the signal magnitude exhibits a minimum in $|M(\tau)| = \sqrt{[M_x(\tau)]^2 + [M_y(\tau)]^2}$ where

$$\tau_{\min} = \rho^{-1}\ln[2(1 - A)] \quad (3)$$

with fractional amplitude $\cos[(\omega_U - \omega_F)\tau_{\min}/2]$ relative to $|M(T)|$. Note that ω_U at 1 bar can be measured at high precision from a separate pressure-jump HSQC spectrum (Figure S1),¹⁴ and ω_F is known from the folded protein HSQC spectrum. Therefore, for the 2-state folding model, $M_x(\tau)$, $M_y(\tau)$, $|M(\tau)|$, and $\langle\omega(\tau)\rangle$ can be calculated for any value of κ (Figures 2 and S4), and then be compared to experimental data to test validity of the model. The use of large κ values subsequently becomes advantageous, as the minimum of $|M(\tau)|$ grows deeper, and its position better defined. As seen from eq 3, for a 2-state folder, the position of the minimum should be the same for all residues.

Experiments were carried out at 600 MHz ^1H frequency, 25 °C, for a 0.3 mM ^{15}N labeled VA2-ubiquitin sample, pH 6.4, using a Bruker Instruments cryogenic probehead with a z -axis pulsed field gradient accessory. A 2.7 mm ID zirconia sample cell (Daedalus Inc.) was used, rated to withstand pressures of up to 3 kbar.³¹ Two data sets were collected, using $\kappa = 0.5$ ms and $\kappa = 2$ ms. For $\kappa = 0.5$ ms, $\langle\omega(\tau)\rangle$ can be determined uniquely from the ratio of $M_x(\tau)$ and $M_y(\tau)$ over a bandwidth

of 2 kHz, which suffices to cover the entire range of backbone amide ^{15}N chemical shifts in VA2-ubiquitin. The precision, ε , at which $\langle\omega(\tau)\rangle \geq \theta/\kappa$ can be determined from $M_y(\tau)/M_x(\tau)$ is limited by the uncertainty in θ , which is determined by the root-mean-square noise, N , in these spectra:

$$\varepsilon(\tau) = \{N/|M(\tau)|\}/\kappa \quad (4)$$

In practice, we obtain $|M(\tau)|/N$ ratios of ca. 40:1 when using 2 scans per free induction decay (FID). This corresponds to an uncertainty of $\varepsilon(\tau) \approx 50$ rad/s, or 0.13 ppm for $\kappa = 0.5$ ms. As seen from eq 4, longer κ durations reduce the uncertainty in $\langle\omega(\tau)\rangle$; however, $(\omega_U - \omega_F)\kappa \ll \pi$ may no longer be satisfied, causing a loss in $|M(\tau)|$ when τ approaches τ_{\min} , which may offset the gain from the larger denominator.

We have previously shown that VA2-ubiquitin folds with comparable efficiency via two parallel pathways: one with a single barrier, and one with two barriers, giving rise to a metastable intermediate that was identified through an upfield shifted resonance of $\text{LSO-C}^{62}\text{H}_3$.¹⁴ However, in the above analysis of $M(\tau)$, three-state folding will be indistinguishable from two-state if the resonance frequency of the intermediate ω_I equals that of the folded state ω_F , as applies for example for S57 (Figure 2; Figure S4). By contrast, many other residues deviate strongly from simple two-state behavior. For example, $\langle\omega(\tau)\rangle$ of R72 initially increases from its unfolded value of 122 ppm to downfield of 124 ppm, before returning to its folded value of 123.9 ppm (Figure 2J). The latter behavior points to a chemical shift value of the intermediate that is downfield of 124 ppm. Considering the folding process is dominated by the sum of two- and three-state pathways, fitting the predicted $\langle\omega(\tau)\rangle$ to the data (SI, text) yields the chemical shifts, ω_I , of the intermediate in the three-state pathway (Figure 3B; Table S1).

Evaluation of ω_F and ω_I shows that the largest differences between them are located in strands β_5 , the loop preceding β_5 , strand β_1 , and the last few residues of β_3 (Figure 3). Remarkably, deviations from 2-state folding extend to at least residue R74, indicating that this residue must be structured in the intermediate state, even while in the native protein it is

significantly disordered. Remaining residuals in the 3-state fits (Figure 2J; Figure S4) could point to low fractional population of additional intermediates, but also could result from small nonidealities in the pressure profile, or from the change in temperature following the adiabatic expansion associated with the pressure drop.

We have shown that it is possible to determine ^{15}N chemical shifts of short-lived protein folding intermediates. We anticipate that the method can be readily extended to the measurement of $^{13}\text{C}'$ and $^{13}\text{C}^\alpha$ frequencies, provided the folding process is not more complex than three-state. These chemical shifts then will provide an alternate pathway to studying the structure of such intermediates, analogous to the probing of chemical shifts of invisible structural states by relaxation dispersion NMR.^{32,33}

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.8b04833.

Data fitting procedure and additional data (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*bax@nih.gov

ORCID

Ad Bax: 0000-0002-9809-5700

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. Ying, G. Anfinrud and J. L. Baber for technical support, and D. A. Torchia, W. A. Eaton, and G. M. Clore for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Hoeltzli, S. D.; Frieden, C. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1995**, 92, 9318–9322.
- (2) Koide, S.; Dyson, H. J.; Wright, P. E. *Biochemistry* **1993**, 32, 12299–12310.
- (3) Balbach, J.; Forge, V.; Vannuland, N. A. J.; Winder, S. L.; Hore, P. J.; Dobson, C. M. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **1995**, 2, 865–870.
- (4) Roche, J.; Dellarole, M.; Caro, J. A.; Norberto, D. R.; Garcia, A. E.; Garcia-Moreno, B.; Roumestand, C.; Royer, C. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, 135, 14610–14618.
- (5) Schlepckow, K.; Wirmer, J.; Bachmann, A.; Kieffhaber, T.; Schwalbe, H. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2008**, 378, 686–698.
- (6) Schanda, P.; Forge, V.; Brutscher, B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2007**, 104, 11257–11262.
- (7) Royer, C. A.; Hinck, A. P.; Loh, S. N.; Prehoda, K. E.; Peng, X. D.; Jonas, J.; Markley, J. L. *Biochemistry* **1993**, 32, 5222–5232.
- (8) Yamaguchi, T.; Yamada, H.; Akasaka, K. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1995**, 250, 689–694.
- (9) Kitahara, R.; Hata, K.; Li, H.; Williamson, M. P.; Akasaka, K. *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* **2013**, 71, 35–58.
- (10) Urbauer, J. L.; Ehrhardt, M. R.; Bieber, R. J.; Flynn, P. F.; Wand, A. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, 118, 11329–11330.
- (11) Inoue, K.; Yamada, H.; Akasaka, K.; Herrmann, C.; Kremer, W.; Maurer, T.; Doker, R.; Kalbitzer, H. R. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **2000**, 7, 547–550.
- (12) Dumont, C.; Emilsson, T.; Gruebele, M. *Nat. Methods* **2009**, 6, 515–U70.




Figure 3. ^{15}N chemical shift differences, $|\Delta\delta(^{15}\text{N})| = |\delta^I - \delta^F|$, between the metastable intermediate and natively folded VA2-ubiquitin. (A) Color coded on a backbone ribbon structure. Residues for which $\Delta\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ could not be determined are shown in gray. (B) $\Delta\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ as a function of residue number, with negative values depicted as open bars.

- (13) Kremer, W.; Arnold, M.; Munte, C. E.; Hartl, R.; Erlach, M. B.; Koehler, J.; Meier, A.; Kalbitzer, H. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 13646–13651.
- (14) Charlier, C.; Alderson, T. R.; Courtney, J. M.; Ying, J.; Anfinrud, P.; Bax, A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2018**, *115*, E4169–E4178.
- (15) Briggs, M. S.; Roder, H. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1992**, *89*, 2017–2021.
- (16) Khorasanizadeh, S.; Peters, I. D.; Roder, H. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **1996**, *3*, 193–205.
- (17) Krantz, B. A.; Sosnick, T. R. *Biochemistry* **2000**, *39*, 11696–11701.
- (18) Jackson, S. E. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2006**, *4*, 1845–1853.
- (19) Went, H. M.; Benitez-Cardoza, C. G.; Jackson, S. E. *FEBS Lett.* **2004**, *567*, 333–338.
- (20) Piana, S.; Lindorff-Larsen, K.; Shaw, D. E. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110*, S915–S920.
- (21) Reddy, G.; Thirumalai, D. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2017**, *121*, 995–1009.
- (22) Alderson, T. R.; Charlier, C.; Torchia, D. A.; Anfinrud, P.; Bax, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 11036–11039.
- (23) Herberhold, H.; Winter, R. *Biochemistry* **2002**, *41*, 2396–2401.
- (24) Roche, J.; Caro, J. A.; Norberto, D. R.; Barthe, P.; Roumestand, C.; Schlessman, J. L.; Garcia, A. E.; Garcia-Moreno, E. B.; Royer, C. A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2012**, *109*, 6945–6950.
- (25) Roche, J.; Dellarole, M.; Caro, J. A.; Guca, E.; Norberto, D. R.; Yang, Y. S.; Garcia, A. E.; Roumestand, C.; Garcia-Moreno, B.; Royer, C. A. *Biochemistry* **2012**, *51*, 9535–9546.
- (26) Ptitsyn, O. B. *Adv. Protein Chem.* **1995**, *47*, 83–229.
- (27) Balbach, J.; Forge, V.; Lau, W. S.; Jones, J. A.; VanNuland, N. A. J.; Dobson, C. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1997**, *94*, 7182–7185.
- (28) Kupce, E.; Freeman, R. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **2007**, *45* (9), 711–713.
- (29) Hansen, A. L.; Bruschweiler, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 14169–14172.
- (30) Kay, L. E.; Keifer, P.; Saarinen, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 10663–10665.
- (31) Peterson, R. W.; Wand, A. J. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2005**, *76*, 094101.
- (32) Sekhar, A.; Kay, L. E. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110*, 12867–12874.
- (33) Neudecker, P.; Robustelli, P.; Cavalli, A.; Walsh, P.; Lundstroem, P.; Zarrine-Afsar, A.; Sharpe, S.; Vendruscolo, M.; Kay, L. E. *Science* **2012**, *336*, 362–366.
- (34) Ying, J.; Delaglio, F.; Torchia, D. A.; Bax, A. *J. Biomol. NMR* **2017**, *68*, 101–118.