Journal of Biomolecular NMR, 3 (1993) 487–493 ESCOM

J-Bio NMR 141

A simple and sensitive experiment for measurement of J_{CC} couplings between backbone carbonyl and methyl carbons in isotopically enriched proteins

Stephan Grzesiek, Geerten W. Vuister and Ad Bax

Laboratory of Chemical Physics, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, U.S.A.

> Received 27 May 1993 Accepted 11 June 1993

Keywords: Carbon-carbon J coupling; Proteins; Two-dimensional NMR; X1 Angle; Stereospecific assignment

SUMMARY

A simple 2D difference experiment is described that allows quantitative measurement of ${}^{13}C{}^{-13}C$ J couplings between backbone carbonyl and side-chain carbons. Precise ${}^{3}J_{CC}$ values were measured from data recorded in just 2 h for a 1-mM solution of the 20-kD complex between the protein calmodulin and a 26-residue synthetic peptide. The J couplings aid in determining the χ_1 angles of value, isoleucine and threonine residues, and in making stereospecific assignments of the Val C^{γ} methyl groups. Error analysis indicates that the uncertainty in the derived J couplings is generally less than ca. 0.3 Hz.

Three-bond ¹³C–¹³C J couplings are valuable carriers of structural information (Bystrov, 1976; Krivdin and Della, 1991). A recent report demonstrated the feasibility for measuring these small ${}^{3}J_{CC}$ couplings in proteins uniformly enriched with ¹³C (Bax et al., 1992). In this so-called quantitative long-range ${}^{13}C{-}^{13}C$ (LRCC) correlation experiment, the size of the J coupling is obtained from the fraction of magnetization that can be transferred 'out-and-back' from a (methyl) carbon to its long-range coupling partner. The carbon from which magnetization originates and on which it returns must have a ${}^{13}C$ line width that is not much larger than the value of the J_{CC} coupling. Hence, for larger proteins (> ~20 kD) the method is only useful for measuring J couplings to methyl carbons.

Quantitative interpretation of the long-range correlation intensities in the 2D or 3D LRCC spectrum requires that the RF pulses applied to the J-coupled ¹³C nuclei are not severely affected by off-resonance effects. For the purposes of obtaining ³J_{CC} values between methyl carbons and backbone carbonyl nuclei this is not easily accomplished in practice. Moreover, the carbonyl (¹³CO) chemical-shift information obtained from the LRCC experiment is generally redundant, except for alanine C^β methyl carbons which can experience both a two- and a three-bond coupling to ¹³CO. Here, we demonstrate the use of a simple and sensitive 2D ¹³C-{¹³CO} spin-echo differ-



Fig. 1. Pulse scheme for the {¹³CO} spin-echo difference CT-HSQC experiment. Narrow and wide pulses denote 90° and 180° flip angles, respectively. The reference CT-HSQC spectrum is recorded with the shaped ¹³CO pulse in position *a*, omitting the pulse labeled *b*, whereas the attenuated CT-HSQC experiment is recorded using pulse *b* and omitting pulse *a*. The ¹³C carrier is positioned at 46 ppm and all rectangular ¹³C pulses are applied using an RF field strength of 17 kHz, except for the ¹³C pulse immediately following pulsed field gradient G₂. The power of the latter pulse is set to cause a null in its excitation profile in the center of the ¹³CO region (177 ppm), requiring an RF field strength of 11.7 kHz for a ¹³C frequency of 151 MHz. As this ¹³C refocusing pulse and the shaped ¹³CO pulse in the attenuated spectrum are not exactly coincident, J_{CCO} dephasing is not effective for the entire period 2T, but must be reduced by the duration of the shaped 180° ¹³CO pulse and by two times the duration of G₂. The ¹³CO pulses have an envelope corresponding to the center lobe of a sin(x)/x function, with a duration of 300 µs. Durations of the pulsed field gradient pulses are 5 ms (G₁), 1.5 ms (G₂,G₃), 700 µs (G₄) and 100 µs (G₅,G₆). Other delay durations are: $\tau = 1.7$ ms; T = 28.7 ms. The pulse labeled SL is a 0.5-ms spin-lock pulse and serves to scramble the HDO signal. Unless indicated otherwise, all pulses are applied along the x-axis. The phase cycle is as follows: $\phi_1 = y, -y; \ \phi_2 = 2(x), 2(-x);$ receiver = x, -x, -x, x. Quadrature detection in t₁ is obtained with the States-TPPI technique by incrementing ϕ_2 .

ence constant-time heteronuclear single-quantum correlation (CT-HSQC) experiment (Santoro and King, 1992; Van de Ven and Philippens, 1992; Vuister and Bax, 1992) from which the J_{CC} couplings to ¹³CO carbons can be extracted in a simpler and more sensitive manner. The experiment is technically analogous to the recently proposed ¹³C-{¹⁵N} spin-echo difference CT-HSQC experiment, which provides a measurement for the complementary ¹³C-¹⁵N J coupling (Vuister et al., 1993). Conceptually, the experiment is also closely related to the 1D ¹H-{¹¹³Cd/¹⁹⁹Hg} spin-echo difference experiment used for the measurement of very small J couplings between backbone amide protons and ¹¹³Cd or ¹⁹⁹Hg in the metal-binding protein rubredoxin (Blake et al., 1992). Factors affecting precision and accuracy of this type of measurement are discussed.

The pulse scheme for the ¹³C-{¹³CO} spin-echo difference CT-HSQC experiment is sketched in Fig. 1. When the ¹³CO 180° pulse is applied in position *a* the scheme is identical to the constant-time ¹H-¹³C correlation experiment (CT-HSQC) described elsewhere (Vuister and Bax, 1992). The effect of one-bond ¹³C-¹³C J couplings during the constant-time evolution period is suppressed by

adjusting the duration (2T) of the constant-time evolution period to $2/{}^{1}J_{CC}$ (58 ms). The effect of ${}^{13}C{}^{-15}N$ and ${}^{13}C{}^{-13}CO$ J couplings is eliminated by the 180° pulses applied at position *a*, and the acquired signal is denoted S_a. In the second experiment the 180° ${}^{13}CO$ pulse is shifted to position *b*, causing the J_{CCO} coupling to be active during the entire 2T period and thereby resulting in a weaker signal, S_b, attenuated by cos($\pi J_{CCO}T$).

The two experiments are executed in an interleaved manner and the signals, S_a and S_b , are stored separately. The value of the J_{CCO} coupling is calculated from:

$$(S_a - S_b)/S_a = 1 - \cos(2\pi J_{CCO}T) = 2\sin^2(\pi J_{CCO}T)$$
(1)

As the duration of the constant-time evolution period (2T) is relatively long and ¹³C magnetization does not decay for increasing t_1 durations (Bax et al., 1979), excellent resolution can be obtained in the 2D ¹³C-{¹³CO} spin-echo difference CT-HSQC spectrum. The scheme incorporates the use of pulsed field gradients in the standard manner in order to reduce the required phase cycling and the minimum measuring time (Bax and Pochapsky, 1992). The method is demonstrated for uniformly ¹³C, ¹⁵N-enriched calmodulin (CaM) (1.0 mM) complexed with a 26-residue synthetic peptide known as M13 (Klevit et al., 1984) and 4 molar equivalents of Ca²⁺, dissolved in 99.8% D₂O, p²H 6.8. Spectra were recorded at 35 °C on a Bruker AMX600 spectrometer.

Figure 2 compares the methyl region of the 2D ${}^{13}C{}^{13}CO{}$ spin-echo difference CT-HSQC spectrum of the CaM/M13 complex (B) with the corresponding region of the reference spectrum (A) (recorded with the 180° ${}^{13}CO{}$ pulse in position *a*). The lowest contour level for the difference spectrum is set 6 times lower than for the reference spectrum and falls just above the thermal noise level. A fraction of the methyl carbons shows intense resonances in the difference spectrum, indicating significant J couplings to the backbone carbonyl. Values for the J_{CC} couplings, derived from Eq. 1, are listed in Table 1. These values are in fair agreement with those obtained previously from the quantitative LRCC correlation spectrum, but are systematically ca. 25% larger. As noted in the supplementary table to Bax et al. (1992), the values measured from the LRCC spectrum were not corrected for RF inhomogeneity or offset effects, which are particularly severe for correlations to the far downfield-shifted ${}^{13}CO$ resonances. The present data are more reliable because ${}^{13}CO{}$ pulses are applied with the carrier shifted to the center of the ${}^{13}CO{}$ region, and offset effects are therefore much smaller. Nevertheless, it is useful to consider the accuracy and precision of the present measurements, i.e. the effect of systematic and random errors, and these will be briefly discussed below.

There are three potential sources of systematic errors: (a) incomplete ¹³C labeling; (b) faster relaxation of ¹³C-{¹³CO} antiphase terms relative to in-phase ¹³C magnetization; and (c) incomplete inversion of the ¹³CO resonances by the shaped 180° ¹³CO pulse. All other factors affect the reference and difference spectra to the same degree and therefore do not influence the J values calculated from their intensity ratios.

If the fraction of ¹³C labeling is 1 - L, a fraction L of the observed ¹³C magnetization is not subject to J modulation. This results in a difference spectrum with relative intensity $(1 - L) \times 2\sin^2(\pi J_{CCO}T)$. Since $J_{CCO}T \ll 1$, the measured J value is about a factor of $\sqrt{(1 - L)}$ smaller than its true value. For CaM, ¹³C filtering experiments indicate that the level of ¹³C enrichment is higher than 97%. The upper limit for the level of ¹³C labeling is set by the enrich-



Fig. 2. Methyl region of (A) the CT-HSQC reference spectrum and (B) the $\{^{13}CO\}$ spin-echo difference CT-HSQC spectrum of CaM-M13, recorded at a proton frequency of 600 MHz. Panel B has been plotted at a contour level 6 times lower than in (A). The reference and attenuated spectra were recorded in an interleaved manner using the pulse scheme of Fig. 1, with acquisition times of 53.2 ms (t₁) and 80 ms (t₂), and spectral widths of 33 ppm (F₁, ¹³C) and 8 ppm (F₂, ¹H). Total measuring time was 2 h.

ment level (99%) of the ${}^{13}C_6$ -glucose used during bacterial expression. Incomplete ${}^{13}C$ enrichment therefore decreases the measured coupling by 0.5–1.5%.

It is well known that transverse magnetization of spin I which is antiphase with respect to spin S and denoted I_yS_z relaxes faster than the corresponding in-phase term, I_x . To a good approximation, the difference in the relaxation rates of I_yS_z and I_x equals the longitudinal relaxation rate, $1/T_{1S}$, of spin S (London, 1990; Bax et al., 1990; Peng et al., 1991). For $J_{CCO}T \ll 1$ and $T_{1S} \gg T$, this decreases the difference spectrum by a factor $(1 - T/T_{1S})$ for $t_1 = 0$ and by a factor $(1 - 0.75T/T_{1S})$ for $t_1 = T/2$. The backbone carbonyl T_1 values of the CaM/M13 complex fall in the 0.7–1.5 s range, and the faster antiphase relaxation decreases ${}^{3}J_{CCO}$ between 1 and 2%.

The effect of incomplete inversion of the ¹³CO spins by the shaped 180° pulse cannot be corrected by phase cycling or pulsed field gradients (Freeman and Keeler, 1981). If a fraction K of the ¹³CO spins is not inverted by the shaped ¹³CO pulse, the reference signal, obtained with the ¹³CO pulse in position *a*, is reduced by a fraction K[1 – $\cos(\pi J_{CCO}t_1)$]. The attenuated signal, obtained with the ¹³CO pulse in position *b*, increases in amplitude by a fraction K[$\cos(\pi J_{CCO}t_1)$ – $\cos(2\pi J_{CCO}T)$]. Thus, the difference spectrum is attenuated by a factor (1 – K), independent of t₁. This results in a decrease of the measured J_{CCO} value by a factor 1 – K/2. The magnitude of K has been measured experimentally for a 180° pulse with the shape of the center bell of a $\sin(x)/x$ function and a duration of 300 µs. K was found to be 2% on-resonance and 10% at an offset of 750 Hz (corresponding to 5 ppm at 150 MHz ¹³C frequency). This incomplete

Residue	J _{cco}	Error	Residue	J _{cco}	Error
A1	1.3	0.1	T26	2.7	0.2
A10	3.1 ^a	b	T28	3.2	0.2
A15	3.1ª	b	T29	2.9	0.1
A46	3.0ª	b	T62	3.4	0.2
A57	2.7ª	b	T70	1.3	0.2
A88	2.8ª	b	T79	1.9	0.1
A102	3.4ª	b	T 117	3.2	0.2
A103	3.0 ^a	b	T143	0.7	0.3
A128	1.9ª	b	T146	2.7	0.1
19-C ^{γ2}	1.0	0.2	V35-C ^{γI}	0.9	0.3
I27-C ^{γ2}	1.1	0.4	V35-C ^{γ2}	4.2	0.2
152-C ^{γ2}	1.4	0.2	V55-C ^{γ1}	2.0	0.1
I63-C ^{γ2}	0.7	0.4	V55-C ⁹²	2.5	0.1
I85-C ^{γ2}	1.3	0.2	V91-C ^{γ1}	0.8	0.4
I100-C ^{γ2}	1.2	0.4	V91-C ⁷²	4.2	0.2
1125-C ^{γ2}	1.4	0.2	V108-C ^{γ1}	1.1	0.2
I130-C ^{γ2}	1.3	0.2	V108-C ^{γ2}	3.5	0.2
K13	1.9/2.3°	0.5	V121-C ^{γ1}	0.8	0.3
K21	4.1/4.2°	0.7	V121-C ^{γ2}	4.1	0.2
K 30	2.1	0.3	V136-C ^{γ2}	4.1	0.2
K75	2.7	0.2	V142-C ^{γ1}	1.2	0.1
K94	2.0/2.0 ^c	0.4	V142-C ^{γ2}	3.5	0.2
K115	2.8/2.8°	0.2			

TABLE 1 $J_{\rm CyCO}$ and alanine $J_{\rm CBCO}$ values (Hz) in the CaM-M13 complex

^a Value for the interresidue ${}^{3}J_{CBCO}$ coupling, assuming a 1.3-Hz value for ${}^{2}J_{CBCO}$.

 $^{\rm b}$ No error estimate given due to the uncertainty in $^2J_{C\beta CO}.$

^c Values derived from the two nonequivalent $C^{\gamma} H_2$ protons.

inversion of the ¹³CO spin therefore reduces the derived J_{CCO} value by 1–5% relative to its true value.

The sum of the systematic errors listed above results in an underestimate of ${}^{3}J_{CCO}$ by 5.5 \pm 3%. The uncertainty in the intensity difference of resonances in the reference and attenuated spectra is a second source of error. A good estimate of the uncertainty in the difference intensity can be obtained by assuming that J_{CC} couplings between the backbone carbonyl and the methionine C^e resonances are zero. The root-mean-square (rms) difference, ε , for these intensities was found to be virtually identical to the rms value of a noise region in the difference spectrum. The random error in the J_{CCO} value then follows from:

$$J_{CCO} = (1/\pi T) \sin^{-1}(\sqrt{(S_a - S_b \pm \epsilon)/2S_a})$$
 (2a)

which for $(S_a - S_b)/2S_a \ll 1$ may be approximated by

$$J_{\rm CCO} \approx (\pi T \sqrt{2})^{-1} \sqrt{(S_{\rm a} - S_{\rm b} \pm \epsilon)/S_{\rm a}}$$
(2b)

Equation 2b indicates that the random error in the measurement of J decreases with increasing values of $(S_a - S_b)$, i.e. with increasing J_{CCO} . In contrast, the systematic errors mentioned above increase linearly with the size of the J coupling. The J couplings and their uncertainty, after correction for the 5.5% systematic underestimate mentioned above, are listed in Table 1.

For all valine residues, except Val⁵⁵, the ¹³CO shows a large J coupling to C^{γ 2}, whereas the J coupling to C^{γ 1} is small, indicating a χ_1 angle of 180°. The same conclusion was reached on the basis of C^{γ}-N three-bond J couplings (Vuister et al., 1993) and C^{γ}-H^{α} J couplings (Vuister and Bax, 1993). For Val⁵⁵, intermediate J couplings from the backbone carbonyl to both C^{γ} carbons are observed. The C^{γ 1-15}N J coupling for this residue (1.2 Hz) was also smaller than expected for a trans configuration (2.1 Hz), but larger than for gauche (0.5 Hz), whereas ³J_{C γ 2N} fell below the detection threshold. These couplings for Val⁵⁵ suggest rotameric averaging between $\chi_1 = 180^{\circ}$ and $\chi_1 = -60^{\circ}$.

Relatively large ${}^{3}J_{C\gamma CO}$ values of ~ 3 Hz are observed for Thr²⁶, Thr²⁸, Thr²⁹, Thr⁶², Thr¹¹⁷ and Thr¹⁴⁶. These values suggest trans configurations, corresponding to χ_{1} angles of +60°. Small values of ~ 1 Hz are observed for Thr⁷⁰ and Thr¹⁴³, corresponding to gauche configurations. Together with relatively large J couplings to ¹⁵N, this defines the χ_{1} angle for the latter two residues as -60°. For Thr⁷⁹, which is located in a solvent-exposed flexible loop (Ikura et al., 1992; Meador et al., 1992), rotamer averaging is observed (J_{CCO} = 1.9 Hz).

For all isoleucine residues in the CaM-M13 complex, the coupling between C^{γ 2} and ¹³CO is found to be small (~ 1 Hz), corresponding to a gauche configuration. Large ³J_{C γ 2N} values (Vuister et al., 1993) indicate χ_1 angles of -60° for all these residues.

For alanine residues, both the intraresidue ${}^{2}J_{C\beta CO}$ and the interresidue ${}^{3}J_{C\beta CO}$ couplings cause attenuation, and to a good approximation, the J_{CCO} value derived from Eq. 1 equals $\sqrt{({}^{2}J_{C\beta CO}{}^{2} + {}^{3}J_{C\beta CO}{}^{2})}$. Based on the LRCC experiment (Bax et al., 1992), ${}^{2}J_{C\beta CO}$ is small (ca]. 1.3 Hz) and rather uniform. Assuming ${}^{2}J_{C\beta CO}$ equals 1.3 Hz, approximate values for ${}^{3}J_{C\beta CO}$ can be extracted from the spectra in Fig. 2 (Table 1).

The CT-HSQC difference method for measuring J couplings between methyl and carbonyl carbons is simpler and more accurate than the original LRCC experiment. The CT-HSOC difference spectrum offers excellent resolution due to the long constant-time acquisition period and resonance overlap is therefore limited, even for larger proteins. The high inherent sensitivity and resolution of this type of J_{CC} measurement also makes it applicable to the measurement of CO-C^Y couplings for arginine, leucine, lysine, methionine and isoleucine ($C^{\gamma l}$) residues. For example, for Lys³⁰, Lys⁷⁵, Lys⁹⁴ and Lys¹¹⁵ a ${}^{3}J_{CYCO}$ coupling of ~ 2 Hz is observed, suggesting χ_1 averaging. This rotameric averaging is also responsible for the relatively long $C^{\gamma} T_2$ values of these residues, yielding relatively intense resonances in the CT-HSQC spectrum (outside the window shown in Fig. 2, except for Lys⁹⁴). However, the much faster relaxing C^{γ} resonance of Lys²¹ shows a large J coupling (4.1 Hz) to ¹³CO, indicative of a χ_1 angle of -60°. For the CaM-M13 complex, many of the C^{γ} resonances of nonmobile arginine, leucine, lysine and methionine residues are too weak in the 2-h CT-HSQC experiment for accurate measurement of the J coupling. For the smaller protein profilin (13 kD), however, a large number of ${}^{3}J_{CYCO}$ couplings for these types of residues has been measured, providing important χ_1 angle constraints and providing insight into the degree of χ_1 rotameric averaging (Archer et al., unpublished results).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Andy C. Wang and Sharon Archer for useful comments and Rolf Tschudin for design and construction of the gradient shaping unit and amplifier. Resonance assignments for the CaM/M13 complex were previously made by M. Ikura. This work was supported by the AIDS Targeted Anti-Viral Program of the Office of the Director of the National Institutes of Health.

REFERENCES

Bax, A., Mehlkopf, A.F. and Smidt, J. (1979) J. Magn. Reson., 35, 167-169. Bax, A., Ikura, M., Kay, L.E., Torchia, D.A. and Tschudin, R. (1990) J. Magn. Reson., 86, 304-318. Bax, A. and Pochapsky, S.S. (1992) J. Magn. Reson., 99, 638-643. Bax, A., Max, D. and Zax, D. (1992) J. Am. Chem. Soc., 114, 6924-6925. Blake, P.R., Summers, M.F., Adams, M.W.W., Park, J.-B., Zhou, Z.H. and Bax, A. (1992) J. Biomol. NMR, 2, 527-533. Bystrov, V.F. (1976) Progr. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc., 10, 41-81. Freeman, R. and Keeler, J. (1981) J. Magn. Reson., 43, 484-487. Ikura, M., Clore, G.M., Gronenborn, A.M., Zhu, G., Klee, C.B. and Bax, A. (1992) Science, 256, 632-638. Meador, W.E., Means, A.R. and Quiocho, F.A. (1992) Science, 257, 1251-1255. Klevit, R.E., Dalgarno, D.C., Levine, B.A. and Williams, R.J.P. (1984) Biochemistry, 24, 8152-8157. Krivdin, L.B. and Della, E.W. (1991) Progr. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc., 23, 301-610. London, R.E. (1990) J. Magn. Reson., 86, 410-415. Peng, J., Thanabal, V. and Wagner, G. (1991) J. Magn. Reson., 95, 421-427. Santoro, J. and King, G.C. (1992) J. Magn. Reson., 97, 202-207. Van de Ven, F.J.M. and Philippens, M.E.P. (1992) J. Magn. Reson., 97, 637-644. Vuister, G.W. and Bax, A. (1992) J. Magn. Reson., 98, 428-435. Vuister, G.W. and Bax, A. (1993) J. Magn. Reson., in press. Vuister, G.W., Wang, A.C. and Bax, A. (1993) J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.